# SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

Fast neighbour-finding and task-based parallelism

Pedro Gonnet, Matthieu Schaller, Tom Theuns, Aidan Chalk ECS/ICC, Durham University 8th International SPHERIC Workshop, June 5th, 2013



◆□▶◆舂▶◆吾▶◆吾▶ 吾 の�?



#### In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.

▲口▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@



#### In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.





In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
 → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
 any faster.



In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
 → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
 any faster. Ever.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.

   —> But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems,
  - e.g. SPH simulations.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.
   —> But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems, e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.

   —> But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems,
- e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Better use of both existing and future infrastructure.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.

   —> But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems,
- e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Better use of both existing and future infrastructure.



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 3/14



• Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a  $4 \times$  Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.





Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a  $4 \times$  Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.



Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a  $4 \times$  Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.





- Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a 4 × Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.
- **GADGET-3**, MPI-based, used for multi-billion particle simulations.





- Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a  $4 \times$  Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.
- GADGET-3, MPI-based, used for multi-billion particle simulations.
- **SWIFT**, our own code for the same problem.





- Cosmological simulation (galaxy formation) with 1.8 M particles in a cubic box of 6.25 Mpc on a  $4 \times$  Intel Xeon X7550 with 32 cores, 2 GHz.
- GADGET-3, MPI-based, used for multi-billion particle simulations.
- SWIFT, our own code for the same problem. 13× faster on 32 cores.



### Task-based parallelism



▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のQ@

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 4/14



Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.



Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.



Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.



- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.



< < >> < <</p>



- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.



• □ • • □ • • □ • •



- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.





- Shared-memory parallel programming paradigm in which the computation is formulated in an implicitly parallelizable way that automatically avoids most of the problems associated with concurrency and load-balancing.
- We first reduce the problem to a set of inter-dependent tasks.
- For each task, we need to know:
  - Which tasks it depends on,
  - Which tasks it conflicts with.
- Each thread then picks up a task which has no unresolved dependencies or conflicts and computes it.





▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のQ@

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 5/14



The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.





- The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.
- If the dependencies and conflicts are specified correctly, we do not have to worry about concurrency at the level of the individual tasks.



- The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.
- If the dependencies and conflicts are specified correctly, we do not have to worry about concurrency at the level of the individual tasks.
  No need for expensive explicit locking, synchronization, or atomic
  - $\longrightarrow$  No need for expensive explicit locking, synchronization, or atomic operations.
# Task-based parallelism



- The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.
- If the dependencies and conflicts are specified correctly, we do not have to worry about concurrency at the level of the individual tasks.
  - $\longrightarrow$  No need for expensive explicit locking, synchronization, or atomic operations.
- Each task has exclusive access to the data it is working on, thus improving cache efficiency.

# Task-based parallelism



- The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.
- If the dependencies and conflicts are specified correctly, we do not have to worry about concurrency at the level of the individual tasks.
  - $\longrightarrow$  No need for expensive explicit locking, synchronization, or atomic operations.
- Each task has exclusive access to the data it is working on, thus improving cache efficiency.
- The same approach can be applied to more unconventional many-core systems such as GPUs.

# Task-based parallelism



- The order in which the tasks are processed is dynamic and adapts automatically to load imbalances.
- If the dependencies and conflicts are specified correctly, we do not have to worry about concurrency at the level of the individual tasks.
  - $\longrightarrow$  No need for expensive explicit locking, synchronization, or atomic operations.
- Each task has exclusive access to the data it is working on, thus improving cache efficiency.
- The same approach can be applied to more unconventional many-core systems such as GPUs.
- However, this usually means that we have to re-think our entire computation, e.g. redesign it from scratch to make it task-based.



▲ロ▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ■ のぐら

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 6/14



In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.



(a)



In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.



• □ > • □ > • □ > •



- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:





- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:





- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:
  - Worst-case cost in  $\mathcal{O}(N^{2/3})$  per particle.





- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:
  - Worst-case cost in  $\mathcal{O}(N^{2/3})$  per particle.
  - Low cache efficiency due to scattered memory access.



Durham University

- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:
  - Worst-case cost in  $\mathcal{O}(N^{2/3})$  per particle.
  - Low cache efficiency due to scattered memory access.
  - Symmetries cannot be exploited, i.e. each particle pair is found twice.



• □ > • □ > • □ > •

- In multi-resolution SPH, Spatial trees are the most commonly used approach to neighbour-finding, as the particle distribution can be irregular.
- Neighbour-finding up and down the tree is simple, but has some problems:
  - Worst-case cost in  $\mathcal{O}(N^{2/3})$  per particle.
  - Low cache efficiency due to scattered memory access.
  - Symmetries cannot be exploited, i.e. each particle pair is found twice.
- Parallelization is trivial, but only because symmetries are not exploited.





▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 7/14



We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.



- We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.
- All interacting particle pairs are then in either in the same cell, or in a pair of neighbouring cells.





- We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.
- All interacting particle pairs are then in either in the same cell, or in a pair of neighbouring cells.





- We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.
- All interacting particle pairs are then in either in the same cell, or in a pair of neighbouring cells.
- Finding all neighbours within each cell or between each pair of cells can be used as a task.





- We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.
- All interacting particle pairs are then in either in the same cell, or in a pair of neighbouring cells.
- Finding all neighbours within each cell or between each pair of cells can be used as a task.





- We start by splitting the simulation domain into rectangular cells of edge length at least h<sub>max</sub>.
- All interacting particle pairs are then in either in the same cell, or in a pair of neighbouring cells.
- Finding all neighbours within each cell or between each pair of cells can be used as a task.
  → Instead of traversing the tree for each particle, we traverse a list of cells and cell pairs and compute all interactions.







 In a mutli-resolution setting, the initial cell-based decomposition can be extremely inefficient.

- In a mutli-resolution setting, the initial cell-based decomposition can be extremely inefficient.
- If the particles in a cell are sufficiently small, the self-interaction task can be split.





- In a mutli-resolution setting, the initial cell-based decomposition can be extremely inefficient.
- If the particles in a cell are sufficiently small, the self-interaction task can be split.
- Likewise, if the particles in a cell-pair are sufficiently small, the task can be split as well.





- In a mutli-resolution setting, the initial cell-based decomposition can be extremely inefficient.
- If the particles in a cell are sufficiently small, the self-interaction task can be split.
- Likewise, if the particles in a cell-pair are sufficiently small, the task can be split as well.
- Finally, the particles in each cell pair are first sorted along the cell pair axis to speed-up neighbour-finding.









▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ●

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 9/14

Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.

ghost density ..... ...... sort sort

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

....

force

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures



.....

Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures



Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



## Task-based algorithms for SPH

- Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.
- "Ghost" tasks are added to group dependencies between the density and force tasks of each cell.





## Task-based algorithms for SPH

- Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.
- "Ghost" tasks are added to group dependencies between the density and force tasks of each cell.
- Each sorting task depends on the sorting tasks of its sub-cells (merge-sort).





- Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.
- "Ghost" tasks are added to group dependencies between the density and force tasks of each cell.
- Each sorting task depends on the sorting tasks of its sub-cells (merge-sort).
- Each pair-interaction task depends on the sort tasks of the cells involved.





## Task-based algorithms for SPH

- Three main task types: Sorting, self-interactions, and pair-interactions.
- "Ghost" tasks are added to group dependencies between the density and force tasks of each cell.
- Each sorting task depends on the sorting tasks of its sub-cells (merge-sort).
- Each pair-interaction task depends on the sort tasks of the cells involved.
- Tasks on overlapping cells conflict, i.e. they can not execute concurrently.





#### Task-based algorithms for SPH Dynamic task allocation





Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 10/14

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

#### Task-based algorithms for SPH Dynamic task allocation





Each core has it's own task queue and uses work-stealing when empty.

Image: Image

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

### Task-based algorithms for SPH Dynamic task allocation





Each core has it's own task queue and uses work-stealing when empty.

Each core has a preference for tasks involving cells which were used previously to improve cache re-use.

Image: A matrix and a matrix

#### Task-based algorithms for SPH Parallel efficiency and scaling



<ロト < 団 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 11/14




Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 11/14

イロト イ部ト イヨト イヨト





Parallel efficiency of 75% on 32 cores of an 4× Intel Xeon X7550 shared-memory machine.

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 11/14

• □ • • □ • • □ • •





- Parallel efficiency of 75% on 32 cores of an 4× Intel Xeon X7550 shared-memory machine.
- No NUMA-related effects: Each task operates exclusively on a small contiguous region of memory which usually fits in the lower level caches.





- Parallel efficiency of 75% on 32 cores of an 4× Intel Xeon X7550 shared-memory machine.
- No NUMA-related effects: Each task operates exclusively on a small contiguous region of memory which usually fits in the lower level caches.



|▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

Pedro Gonnet: SWIFT: Fast algorithms for SPH on multi-core architectures

June 5th, 2013 12/14



SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.





SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.





- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- **Hybrid** shared/distributed-memory parallelism:



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ► Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ► Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.
  - SIMD/SIMT parallelism within each task on a single core.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ► Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.
  - SIMD/SIMT parallelism within each task on a single core.
- In a task-based hybrid setup, sending and receiving data asynchronously can be implemented as tasks to hide communication latencies.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ▶ Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.
  - SIMD/SIMT parallelism within each task on a single core.
- In a task-based hybrid setup, sending and receiving data asynchronously can be implemented as tasks to hide communication latencies.
- Mixed-precision floating point arithmetic in order to better exploit SIMD vectorization, yet without losing precision.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ► Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.
  - SIMD/SIMT parallelism within each task on a single core.
- In a task-based hybrid setup, sending and receiving data asynchronously can be implemented as tasks to hide communication latencies.
- Mixed-precision floating point arithmetic in order to better exploit SIMD vectorization, yet without losing precision.



- SWIFT is currently an Open-Source research code, but we aim to be able to do production runs in Computational Cosmology.
- Modular structure, easy to add new physics, kernel functions, etc...
- Hybrid shared/distributed-memory parallelism:
  - > Distributed-memory parallelism between the nodes of a cluster,.
  - ► Task-based shared-memory parallelism between the cores of a single node.
  - SIMD/SIMT parallelism within each task on a single core.
- In a task-based hybrid setup, sending and receiving data asynchronously can be implemented as tasks to hide communication latencies.
- Mixed-precision floating point arithmetic in order to better exploit SIMD vectorization, yet without losing precision.
- Tasks can also be shared between the CPU and a GPU.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.
  - $\longrightarrow$  But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems, e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Better use of both existing and future infrastructure.



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.
  - $\longrightarrow$  But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems, e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.

   —> Better use of both existing and future infrastructure.
- If you have an interesting problem, or just need a fast code, let us know!



- In order to continue getting *faster*, programs need to become *more parallel*.
   → If a program has reached its maximum degree of parallelism, it won't get
   any faster. Ever.
- On shared-memory systems, asynchronous task-based parallelism solves most problems with concurrency and scaling.
  - $\longrightarrow$  But we still need to develop task-based algorithms for specific problems, e.g. SPH simulations.
- Better algorithms alone can lead to speedups of up to a factor of ten.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Better use of both existing and future infrastructure.
- If you have an interesting problem, or just need a fast code, let us know!

#### Conclusions

Thanks



#### Thank you for your attention!